

Freedom of Information Request - Additional detailed Information requested

Reference: 2016/003

Dated: 22nd September 2016

Response due by: 20th October 2016

Please try to be as specific as possible when requesting information, following the Information Commissioners Guidelines:

- * *You can ask for any information you think a public authority may hold. The right only covers recorded information.*
- * *You should identify the information you want as clearly as possible.*
- * *Your request can be in the form of a question, rather than a request for specific documents, but the authority does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.*
- * *Some information may not be given to you because it is exempt, for example because it would unfairly reveal personal details about somebody else.*

A Full copy of the ICO Guidance on How to access information from a Public Body may be viewed at: http://ico.org.uk/for_the_public/official_information

Information Requested:

<p>I am Still awaiting; Copy of the formal complaint by [redacted] The remaining 24 pages of the report to [redacted] The correspondence and advice from Ellis Whittam, which they state are in the Shaftesbury record. Any other document/correspondence that contains references to me personally.</p>	<p>Redacted copy of the staff complaint taken to the Human Resources (HR) Committee on 29th January 2013 attached.</p> <p>Minutes of the HR meetings on 29th January 2013 and 28th February 2013 attached.</p> <p>A redacted copy of the report to [redacted] was provided to you, and is attached again for information.</p> <p>Day book notes of the meeting you attended with the HR Committee held on 24th February 2012 are attached. These notes contain the remaining 24 pages, as referred to on page 3 of the report to [redacted].</p> <p>Ellis Whittam correspondence and advice will be dealt with under the Data Protection Act 1998, as a Subject Access request.</p> <p>Any other document/correspondence that contains reference to you personally will be dealt with under the Data Protection Act 1968, as a Subject Access request.</p>
<p>Please provide an explanation as to why the record of the Mayors parlour meeting was not made as per the adopted constitution, 'the public should have been informed and the</p>	<p>As per previous request response, the discussion in the Mayors Parlour took place before the Council meeting was officially convened - there was no requirement to minute that discussion.</p> <p>Under Freedom of Information (Fol) guidelines</p>

<p>record attached to the formal minutes of Mayor making'. Who has been advising STC during the three months without a proper officer?</p>	<p>issued by the ICO, Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.</p>
<p>I am entitled to have paper copy of the documents I have asked for, please provide it. I am also entitled to a clear reason why no proper record has been kept, or why it was destroyed, please provide these?</p>	<p>Paper copies of the documents listed in your previous request for additional information, have been posted to you.</p> <p>Under Freedom of Information (Fol) guidelines issued by the ICO, Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.</p>
<p>Had I been advised that the appointment of [redacted] as 'Proper Officer was unlawful and given a time as to when an appointment would be made, matters would be a lot more cordial, perhaps a proper reply with expected dates as to when the proper officer will be in post, for the FOI officer to properly look at the records, including the [redacted] day books.</p>	<p>In respect of the appointment of Town Clerk and Proper Officer, The Council is unable to provide a specific date at this point in time. As soon as an appointment has been confirmed, this will be published on our website.</p> <p>A snapshot of [redacted] day books was sent to you in response to your Fol request dated 28th June 2015, ref: 2015/012. This document is attached again for information. The Council would be unable to allow access to these day books, as they also contain other confidential and personal information in respect of the Council's day to day business.</p>
<p>I can appreciate that staff may not be responsible for the dysfunction of the Council, but the reason they become annoyed with me for asking perfectly reasonable questions is beyond me, have the staff taken any action to warn members of their failures?</p>	<p>Under Freedom of Information (Fol) guidelines the Council does not have to answer your question if this would mean creating new information or giving an opinion or judgment that is not already recorded.</p>
<p>Perhaps [redacted] you will inform me of when I will get answers to my questions at the last FC, including written answers from Cllr [redacted] as to why he lied to the public about his claim for the school site on the Persimmon Homes business park and, [redacted], [redacted], [redacted], and Perkins all questions I asked of them require a full truthful answer as per the adopted constitution.</p>	<p>The Town Council's Constitution was withdrawn at the Extraordinary Meeting of the Council held on 5th April 2016.</p> <p>F170 Constitution</p> <p>Officer report 0416EFC11 was received and it was RESOLVED to withdraw the Town Council's constitution, to be replaced with each of its constituent Policies, Procedures and Protocols. The Council would review each of these in due course.</p> <p>Minutes of this meeting can be found on the Council's website at: http://shaftesburytowncouncil.co.uk/images/legacy/FC/2016_04_05_EFC_Mins_Final.pdf</p>